

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/606,839	KARDACH, JAMES P.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas Lee	2115	

All Participants:

(1) Thomas Lee.

(2) Mark Watson.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102

Claims discussed:

1 - 20

Prior art documents discussed:

Shen et al, US 6,490,611


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The differences between Shen et al and the claimed invention were discussed. Applicant agreed to the changes as set forth in the examiner's amendment to place the application in condition of allowance

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



THOMAS LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)